Ethnicity & Health - Attention to 'race' and/or ethnicity: Additional guidance for authors and reviewers (September 2009)

	Criteria		Comments
Foo	cus of the paper		
1	Is there an adequate justification as to why attention to issues relating to 'race' and/or 'ethnicity is warranted in this paper?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
2	Was the focus/framing of the research informed by those individuals or groups who are the subject of the research?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
Cor	ncepts and terminology (ethnicity, 'race' and related concepts)		
3	Are key concepts adequately explained and justified?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
4	Have the authors used terminology consistently and appropriately?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
Cat	egories and labels		
5	Does the paper use/refer to 'racial' or ethnic categories or 'groups'?	□ yes □ no (skip to Q8)	
6	Have the authors carefully considered the appropriateness and limitations of the 'racial' or ethnic categories used for the topic under investigation, be these bespoke or standard categories(e.g. census categories)?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
7	Is there sufficient detail and justification for how such categories were assigned?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
Car	e of research participants		
8	For new research/investigation directly involving human participants, were appropriate steps taken to ensure the safety and comfort of study participants regardless of their 'racial 'or ethnic identity?	□ yes □ no	
San	npling and data generation		
9	Were samples of individuals labelled as belonging to one or more 'racial' or ethnic 'groups' used?	□ yes □ no (skip to Q14)	
10	Is the sampling strategy clearly explained and justified?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	
11	Was the sampling strategy adequate to generate samples of the different 'racial' or ethnic 'groups' that are comparable?	□ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure	

12	Was the validity/suitability of the data collection methods or instruments confirmed	🗆 yes
	for the different 'racial' or ethnic 'groups'?	🗆 no
		□ N/A or unsure
13	If data were gathered in more than one language, were rigorous methods used for	🗆 yes
	working across languages and ensuring conceptual equivalence?	
		□ N/A or unsure
Ana	lyses and interpretation: comparisons and causation	
	Do the analyses and interpretation:	
14	exercise appropriate caution in any claims about causal links between 'race'	
	and/or ethnicity and experiences/outcomes? (In guantitative analyses, do the	
	authors avoid interpreting <i>statistical associations</i> as <i>explanations/causal effects</i>)?	□ N/A or unsure
15	adequately recognise the multifaceted nature of 'race' and/or 'ethnicity' and the	
15	need to consider underlying explanatory factors (whether cultural, genealogical, or	
	socio-political)?	□ N/A or unsure
16	adequately engage with the internal diversity of 'racial' and/or ethnic groups? (for	🗆 yes
	instance by gender, socioeconomic, migrant status and religion)	🗆 no
		□ N/A or unsure
17	give adequate attention to absolute levels of key experiences/outcomes as well as	
	relative differences between 'groups'?	
		□ N/A or unsure
Pres	sentation and interpretation	
	Does the reporting and interpretation of issues/findings:	
18	avoid the potential for stereotyping, stigmatising or pathologising certain 'racial' or	🗆 yes
	ethnic 'groups' or populations?	
		□ N/A or unsure
19	illustrate adequate reflexivity in the work (e.g. acknowledging the researchers' own	
13	social position(s) and any assumptions and limitations of the methods used)?	
		□ N/A or unsure
20	adequately acknowledge the potential role of factors beyond the scope of the	□ yes
	analyses and/or alternative interpretations?	🗆 no
		□ N/A or unsure
21	give adequate attention to the transferability of the findings to other research and	🗆 yes
	practice contexts and any limits to this transferability?	
		□ N/A or unsure
	1	