Attention to 'race' and/or ethnicity: Additional guidance for authors and reviewers (May 2009)

| PRACTICE PAPERS | E Criteria | | Comments |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Attention | to 'race' and ethnicity | | |
| 1 | Does the paper engage with issues relating to 'race' and/or ethnicity? | □ yes □ no (skip to Q3) | |
| 2 | Is there an adequate justification as to why attention to issues relating to 'race' and/or ethnicity is warranted in this paper? | □ yes (skip to Q6) □ no (skip to Q6) | |
| | For papers that do not address 'race' or ethnicity: | | |
| 3 | Is there an adequate explanation as to why the paper does <u>not</u> engage with issues relating to 'race' and/or ethnicity? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| 4 | Is there an adequate discussion of the limitations that might ensue from not addressing 'race' and/or ethnicity? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| 5 | Does the paper nevertheless seek to inform practice and/or policy development for a 'racially' or ethnically diverse population? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| | ** If the paper does not include attention to 'rac | e' or ethnicity please | e stop here ** |
| Focus of t | he paper and framing of the issues/questions | | |
| 6 | Was the focus of the paper informed by those individuals or groups who are the subject of the research? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| 7 | Is the multifaceted nature of 'race' and/or ethnicity adequately recognised? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| Concepts | and terminology (ethnicity, 'race' and related concepts) | | |
| 8 | Are key concepts adequately explained and justified? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| 9 | Have the authors used terminology consistently and appropriately? | □ yes □ no □ N/A or unsure | |
| Categorie | s and labels | | |
| 10 | Does the paper employ 'racial' or ethnic categories or 'groups'? | □ yes | |

Salway et al. 2009 Pilot Guidance
Page 1 of 3

| | | - no (akin to O12) | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| 4.4 | The other college was filled a self-college beautiful to 200 and 200 a | □ no (skip to Q13) | |
| 11 | Have the authors carefully considered whether the 'racial' or ethnic categories | □ yes | |
| | employed are appropriate for the topic under investigation? | □ no | |
| 40 | | □ N/A or unsure | |
| 12 | Have the authors adequately discussed any limitations of the categories | □ yes | |
| | used, be these bespoke or standard (e.g. census categories)? | □ no | |
| | | □ N/A or unsure | |
| | | | |
| Data ge | eneration | | |
| 13 | Does the paper report on findings relating to people (whether patients, public | □ yes | |
| | or practitioners) identified as belonging to one or more 'racial' or ethnic | □ no (skip to Q16) | |
| | 'groups'? | | |
| 14 | Was the volume of information sufficient across these different racial or ethnic | □ yes | |
| | 'groups'? | □ no | |
| | | □ N/A or unsure | |
| 15 | Was the quality of the information adequate across these different racial or | □ yes | |
| | ethnic 'groups'? | no | |
| | grades | □ N/A or unsure | |
| | | 2 1 1,7 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 | Comments |
| | | | |
| Analyse | es and interpretation: comparisons and causation | | |
| | Do the analyses and interpretations: | | |
| 16 | exercise caution in claiming causal links between race and/or ethnicity and | □ yes | |
| ' | particular outcomes/experiences? | no | |
| | particular cutocifics/cxponicifics | □ N/A or unsure | |
| 17 | recognise the multifaceted nature of 'race' and/or ethnicity? | □ yes | |
| ' ' | recognise the matthaceted nature of race and/or eliminary. | □ no | |
| | | □ N/A or unsure | |
| 18 | adequately engage with the internal diversity of 'racial' and/or ethnic groups? | □ yes | |
| ' | (for instance by gender, socioeconomic, migrant status, religion etc.) | l □ yes | |
| | (101 Instance by gender, socioeconomic, migrant status, religion etc.) | □ N/A or unsure | |
| 19 | pay adequate attention to absolute levels of key experiences/outcomes within | □ yes | |
| פו | 'groups' as well as relative differences between 'groups'? | □ yes □ no | |
| | groups as well as relative unferences between groups: | □ N/A or unsure | |
| | | □ IN/A OF UTISUTE | |
| Presentation and interpretation | | | |
| | Does the reporting and interpretation of findings: | | |
| 20 | avoid the potential for stereotyping, stigmatising or pathologising certain | □ yes | |
| 1 | | | |
| | 'racial' or ethnic 'groups' or populations? | □ no | |

Salway et al. 2009 Pilot Guidance Page 2 of 3

| | | □ N/A or unsure |
|----|--|-----------------|
| 21 | illustrate adequate reflexivity in the work (e.g. acknowledging the authors' | □ yes |
| | own social position(s), and any assumptions and limitations of the approach)? | □ no |
| | | □ N/A or unsure |
| 22 | adequately acknowledge the potential role of factors beyond the scope of the | □ yes |
| | analyses and/or alternative interpretations? | □ no |
| | | □ N/A or unsure |
| 23 | give adequate attention to the transferability of the findings to other practice | □ yes |
| | contexts and any limits to this transferability? | □ no |
| | | □ N/A or unsure |

Salway et al. 2009 Pilot Guidance
Page 3 of 3